

**ГОУ ВПО РОССИЙСКО-АРМЯНСКИЙ (СЛАВЯНСКИЙ)
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ**

Составлен в соответствии с государственными требованиями к минимуму содержания и уровню подготовки выпускников по направлению «Международные отношения» и Положением «Об УМКД РАУ».

УТВЕРЖДАЮ:

Директор Института
Калашян М.А.

“23 ” _____ июня _____ 2023 г.

И.о. заведующего кафедрой
Галачян Г.К.

Институт: Права и политики

Кафедра: Мировой политики и международных отношений

Автор: к.п.н., доцент Геворкян Н.В.

УЧЕБНАЯ ПРОГРАММА

Дисциплина: Б1.В.ДВ.01.12 «ЕС в глобальной политике»

Специальность: 41.03.05 «Международные отношения»

Направление: 41.03.05 «Международные отношения»

Форма обучения: очная

ЕРЕВАН

Course Description and objectives

Although the European Union (EU) undeniably plays a key role in international politics, law and economics, it faces serious international and domestic challenges. The EU remains a key actor in the development of a number of international policies and as a promoter of effective multilateralism. It continues to ‘import’ and ‘export’ both policies and norms. The EU is also a key interlocutor for states and regional bodies throughout the world. However, changes in the international system and various international crises have an impact on the international role of the EU and its member states, testing the latter’s ability to act and adapt. This course aims to acquaint the students with the history of the EU, its Institutions, integration theories, current issues and foreign policy of the EU, EU policy in the South Caucasus, EU-Russia relations, EU-USA relations.

Relationship to other specialty disciplines. The discipline is closely related to disciplines such as “World history”, “Contemporary world politics and international relations” and etc.

Requirements for the initial level of knowledge and skills of students. Student must fluently speak English, understand geopolitics and current international processes.

The course consists of 72 academic hours, 3 modules and 12 sessions: lectures and seminars. Students will be required to study weekly readings, to make presentations, to write and present essays, and to do an end-term assignment.

Assessment method

Seminars (40%), End-term assignment (60%)

Attendance:

If you miss more than one session and / or deadlines without genuine extenuating circumstances, your work will not be marked.

Programme

Module 1. Understanding Regional Integration. Integration theories. Historical overview of the ideas of the European Integration. Functioning of the EU's institutions and the methods of decision-making.

In this module, the focus will be on providing the students with the historical overview of the ideas of the Unity and various important aspects of the formation of the EU as well as on evolution of the EU's Treaties. The module will shade light on the functioning of the EU's institutions and the methods of decision-making. During the module the students will be provided with an understanding of the (institutional) structure of the EU and its functioning, in particular with regard to the role of the EU-institutions, their interplay and the balance between the EU-institutions and the member states. The module will also cover the most important theories regarding the dynamics of EU integration and external relations.

- Understanding Regional Integration. Integration theories (Federalism and Federation, Neo-functionalism, Intergovernmentalism, New Theories of European Integration)
- Historical overview of the ideas of the European Integration.
- History of the EU (European Integration from 1945 to 1984, Cold war and Marshal Plan, Treaty of Paris, Treaty of Rome, Merger Treaty, Single European Act, Treaty of Maastricht, Treaty of Amsterdam, Treaty of Nice, Treaty of Lisbon)
- Institutions of the EU (briefly who does what). Decision making in the EU.

Module 2. The EU's Foreign Policy.

The module will focus on various important aspects of its external relations. Since its start in the fifties, the EU has evolved into an ever more important multilevel system of integration – important, both in terms of its capacity of decision- making and its scope of policies. The

module will provide with an understanding of the most important venues of foreign policy and external relations.

- Enlargement and Neighbourhood policy (ENP, EaP/UfM, ENP review)
- EU-Russia relations
- EU-USA relations
- EU policy in the South Caucasus, EU-Armenia relations.

Module 3. Current Issues of the EU

In this module, the focus will be on providing the students with the overview of the current issues of the European Union ranging from migration to disintegration tendencies within the Union.

- Migration issue
- Financial economic issues
- Democratic deficit issue
- Brexit – What is next?

Exam: T.B.A.

Required reading:

1. Буторина Ольга. Европейская интеграция. Учебник. Институт Европы. Российская академия наук. Москва, 2011.
2. Federiga Bindi, *The Foreign Policy of the European Union Assessing Europe's Role in the World*. Brookings institution press, Washington, D.C. 2010
3. Foreign Policy and International Affairs of the European Union: Com- prehending the Role of the EU in the World / L. O. Igumnova, O. G. Le- karenko, Iu. G. Agafonov and others; ed. by L. O. Igumnova. – Irkutsk: Publishing House “Ottisk”, 2018.
4. Jean-Victor Louis, *The European Union: from External Relations to Foreign Policy?* EU Diplomacy Papers 2/2007
5. Jonathan Olsen and John McCormick, *The European Union Politics and Policies*, Sixth Edition, 2016, 380 pages
6. Karen E. Smith, *European Union Foreign Policy in a Changing World*. London, Polity Press, 2008 (Fully revised and updated edition)
7. Neill Nugent, *The government and politics of the European Union*, London, Palgrave Macmillan, 2010 (7th edition).
8. The European Union and Russia: Perception and Interest in the Shaping of Relations / A. Gerrits (ed.), M. Bader, M. de Haas and J. de Jong – The Hague, Netherlands Institute of International Relations *Clingendael*. Clingendael European Papers No. 4

Additional literature:

1. “Armenia–EU Ties ‘Severely Damaged’”, 17.11.2013, <http://www.azatutyun.am/content/article/25117846.html>
2. “Azerbaijan won’t sign EU association agreement official”, <http://www.2tv.ge/en/news/view/59319.html>
3. “Commission and Azerbaijan sign strategic gas deal”. Press release, Brussels, 13/11/2011, IP/11/30, http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-11-30_en.htm.
4. “Energy production and imports”. Eurostat, Statistics explained. http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Energy_production_and_imports
5. “EU and Armenia launch negotiations for a new agreement”. Press release, Brussels, 07/12/2015, http://eeas.europa.eu/statements-eeas/2015/151207_04_en.htm
6. “EU to unlock €2 billion worth of investment for small businesses in Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine”. Press release, Brussels, 21/05/2015, http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-15-5012_en.htm
7. “Nabucco Redux?”, <http://www.naturalgaseurope.com/southern-gas-corridor-iran-nabucco>
8. “South Stream bilateral deals breach EU law, Commission says”, 04.12.2013, <http://www.euractive.com/energy/commission-south-stream-agreement-news-532120>
9. “Tsipras: ‘Turkish Stream’ will have another name on Greek territory”, <http://www.euractiv.com/sections/global-europe/tsipras-turkish-stream-will-have-another-name-greek-territory-313636>
10. “Two tier Eastern Partnership on the table at Riga summit”, <http://www.euractiv.com/sections/europes-east/two-tier-eastern-partnership-table-riga-summit-314726>
11. “US urges Athens to focus on TAP, not Turkish Stream”, <http://www.infobalkans.com/2015/05/08/us-urges-athens-focus-tap-not-turkish-stream>, 14.05.2015
12. “Virtual Pipelines”, <http://www.naturalgaseurope.com/trans-adriatic-pipeline-and-turkish-stream-repeating-history-24524>

13. “Вопрос парафирования Соглашения об ассоциации с ЕС остается в повестке Армении”, интервью с главой Аппарата президента РА. http://panorama.am/ru/current_topics/2013/09/04/vigen-sargsyan-2/
14. “США прямо заявили, что не хотят участия Греции в «Турецком потоке», www.regnum.ru/news/1923051.html
15. A Secure Europe in a better world. European Security Strategy. Brussels, 12 December 2003.
16. Alieva, Leila. “EU and South Caucasus”, CAP, Bertelsmann Group for Policy Research, December 2006.
17. Amnesty International, Azerbaijan Human Rights, <http://www.amnestyusa.org/our-work/countries/europe/azerbaijan>
18. An External Policy to Serve Europe’s Energy Interests. Paper from Commission/SG/HR for the European Council. http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/energy_transport/international/doc/paper_solana_sg_energy_en.pdf
19. Balfour, Rosa. “Debating the Eastern Partnership: Perspectives from The European Union”, IPG, 3/2011.
20. Barnes, J. Alice and Briggs S. Nicholas. “The Caspian Oil Reserves. The political, economic and environmental implications of “Black Gold” in the world market”, EDGE, Winter 2003, <http://www.stanford.edu/class/e297a/Caspian%20Oil%20Reserves.pdf>
21. Ben Rosamond (2007) New Theories of European Integration. In: Cini Michelle (ed) European Union Politics (2nd ed). Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 117-136
22. Boonstra, J. and Shapovalova, N. (2010) The EU's Eastern Partnership: One year backwards, FRIDE Working Paper, No. 99, May 2010:http://www.fride.org/download/WP99_EP_ENG_may10.pdf
23. Carsten Stroy-Jensen (2007) Neo-functionalism. In: Cini Michelle (ed) European Union Politics (2nd ed). Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 85-98.
24. Cohen, Ariel. “Ethnic Conflicts Threaten U.S. Interests in the Caucasus”, The Heritage Foundation, Backgrounder, N1222, September 25, 1998.
25. Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on strengthening the European Neighbourhood Policy, COM (2006) 726 Final, 4 December 2006.
26. Coppieters, Bruno. “An EU Special Representative to a New Periphery” in Lynch, Dov (ed) The South Caucasus: A Challenge for the EU, Chaillot Paper no. 65, EU Institute for Security Studies, December 2003.

27. Cornell, E. Svante and Starr, S. Frederick. *The Caucasus: A challenge for Europe*. Central Asia–Caucasus Institute, Silk Road Program, June 2006.
28. Cornell, Svante, Tsereteli, Mamuka and Socor, Vladimir. “Geostrategic Implications of the Baku–Tbilisi–Ceyhan Pipeline” in Starr, Frederik and Cornell, Svante (ed.) *The Baku–Tbilisi–Ceyhan Pipeline: Oil window to the West*, Central Asia, Caucasus institute & Silk Road studies program, 2005.
29. Cornell, Svante. “The growing threat of Transnational Crime” in Lynch, Dov (ed.) *The South Caucasus: A Challenge for the EU*, Chaillot Paper no. 65, EU Institute for Security Studies, December 2003.
30. De Waal, Thomas. *The Caucasus. An Introduction*, Oxford University Press, 2010.
31. Dekanozishvili, Mariam. “The EU in the South Caucasus: By what means, to what ends?”, Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies, Occasional Paper N2, January 2004.
32. Delcour, Laure and Duhot, Hubert. “Bringing South Caucasus Closer to Europe: Achievements and Challenges in ENP Implementation”, Natolin Research Papers, Department of European Interdisciplinary Studies, March 2011.
33. Delcour, Laure and Tulmets, Elsa. “Is the European Union an International Actor in the Making? The Neighborhood Policy as a Capability Test”, *European Political Economy Review*, N7 (Summer 2007), ISSN 1742–5697.
34. Duchêne, François. “Europe’s Role in World Peace” in Mayne, Richard (ed.) *Europe Tomorrow: Sixteen Europeans Look Ahead*, Fontana, London. 1972.
35. Duchêne, François. “The European Community and the Uncertainties of Interdependence” in Kohnstamm, Max and Hager, Wolfgang (ed.) *A Nation Writ Large? Foreign Policy Problems before the European Community*, Macmillan, 1973.
36. Duke, Simon (2011): *Pax or Pox Europeana after the Lisbon Treaty?* *International Spectator*, Volume 46, Number 1, March 2011 , pp. 83-99.
37. Energy Union Package. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, the Committee of the Regions and the European Investment Bank. Brussels, 25.2.2015 COM (2015) 80 final. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:1bd46c90-bdd4-11e4-bbe1-01aa75ed71a1.0001.03/DOC_1&format=PDF
38. European Commission, *EU–Azerbaijan Action Plan*. 2006, http://ec.europa.eu/world/enp/pdf/action_plans/azerbaijan_enp_ap_final_en.pdf

39. European Commission. An Energy Policy for Europe, http://ec.europa.eu/energy/energy_policy/doc/01_energy_policy_for_europe_en.pdf
40. European Commission. An EU energy security and solidarity action plan. Brussels, 13.11.2008. COM (2008) 781 final. <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2008:0781:FIN:EN:PDF>
41. European Commission. Baku Initiative. http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/energy_transport/international/regional/caspian/energy_en.htm
42. European Commission. Black Sea Synergy. Brussels, 15 March 2010.
43. European Commission. Eastern Partnership, COM (2008) 823 final, Brussels, 3.12.2008.
44. European Commission. Energy Union Factsheet. Brussels, 25 February 2015. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-15-4485_en.htm
45. European Commission. EU-Armenia Action Plan. 2006, http://ec.europa.eu/world/enp/pdf/action_plans/armenia_enp_ap_final_en.pdf
46. European Commission. EU-Georgia Action Plan. 2006, http://ec.europa.eu/world/enp/pdf/action_plans/georgia_enp_ap_final_en.pdf
47. European Commission. European Neighborhood Policy Strategy Paper, COM (2004) 373 final. Brussels, 12.5.2004.
48. European Commission. Wider Europe – neighborhood: a new framework for relations with our eastern and southern neighbors, COM (2003) 104 final, 11 March, 2003.
49. European Energy Security Strategy, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council. Brussels, 28.5.2014 COM(2014)330 final. <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52014DC0330&from=EN>
50. Ferrero-Waldner, Benita. “Eastern Partnership – an ambitious project for 21-st century European foreign policy”, 20 February 2009.
51. Freedom House. Freedom in the World Survey 2014. <https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/freedom-world-2014>
52. German, C. Tracey. “Corridor of Power: The Caucasus and Energy Security”. *Caucasian Review of International Affairs*, Vol. 2 (2), Spring 2008.
53. Gogolashvili, Kakha, “The EU and Georgia: The Choice is in the Context” in A. Schmidt, et al (ed.) *The European Union and the South Caucasus. Three perspectives on the South Caucasus, Europe in dialogue*, Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2009/01.

54. Gromadzki, Grzegorz. "A Challenging Opportunity. The EU plus Six – The Eastern Partnership", Friedrich Ebert Stiftung, November 2010.
55. Gültekin–Punsmann, Burcu, "Black Sea Regional Policy Approach: A Potential Contributor to European Energy Security", ICBSS, Policy Brief #6, 2008.
56. Halbach, Uwe. "The European Union in the South Caucasus: Story of a hesitant approximation" in South Caucasus – 20 Years of Independence, Friedrich–Ebert–Stiftung, ISBN 978–9941–0–3793–1.
57. Hill, Fiona and Spector, Regine. "The Caspian Basin and Asian Energy Markets", The Brookings Institution, September 2001.
<http://www.brookings.edu/~media/research/files/papers/2001/9/globaleconomics%20hill/cr08.pdf>
58. Holland, Andrew. "Europe Should Embargo Imports of Russian Natural Gas",
<http://www.energytrendsinsider.com/2014/03/03/europe–should–embargo–imports–of–russian–natural–gas/>
59. Hoof, Van Lieve. "Why the EU Is Failing in Its Neighbourhood: The Case of Armenia", European Foreign Affairs Review, 17 EFA, Kluwer Law International BV, 2012.
60. Human Rights Watch, 2014 Report, <http://www.hrw.org/world-report/2014/>
61. Hunter, Shireen. The Transcaucasus in transition. Nation Building and Conflict, The Center for Strategic and International Studies, Significant issues Series, Vol. 16, №7, Washington D.C., 1994.
62. Huseynov, Tabib. "The EU and Azerbaijan: Destination unclear" in A. Schmidt, et al (ed.) The European Union and the South Caucasus. Three perspectives on the South Caucasus, Europe in dialogue, Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2009/01.
63. Jiménez, Ana. "Statistical aspects of the natural gas economy in 2009", Eurostat Data in focus, 20/2010, http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_OFFPUB/KS–QA–10–020/EN/KS–QA–10–020–EN.PDF
64. Joint Declaration of the European Union and the Republics of Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia. Luxembourg, 22 June 1999. 9405/99 (Presse 202). C/99/202.
65. Joint Declaration of the Prague Eastern Partnership Summit. Prague, 7 May, 2009, http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/where/neighbourhood/eastern_partnership/documents/prague_summit_declaration_en.pdf
66. Joint Declaration of the Riga Eastern Partnership Summit. Riga, 22 May, 2015, https://eu2015.lv/images/news/2015_05_22_RigaDeclaration_EaP.pdf

67. Joint Declaration of the Vilnius Eastern Partnership Summit. Vilnius, 29 November, 2013, https://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/EN/foraff/139765.pdf
68. Joint Declaration of the Warsaw Eastern Partnership Summit. Warsaw. 30 September, 2011, https://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_PRES-11-341_en.htm
69. Kahraman, Sevillay. “The European Neighborhood Policy: The European Union’s New Engagement Towards Wider Europe”, *Perceptions*, Winter 2005.
70. Kempe, Iris, Hohberg, Tarek and Kefferpütz, Roderirick. “Eastern Partnership and the Caucasus. Strategic Input from the Region”, Heinrich Böll Stiftung, South Caucasus, 2009.
71. Keukeleire, Stephan; Jennifer McNaughtan (2008): *The Foreign Policy of the European Union*. Palgrave: Houndsmills, 2008, Chpt. 2 (‘European Integration and Foreign Policy: Historical Overview’), pp. 35-65.
72. Keukeleire, Stephan; Kolja Raube (2012): *The Common Security and Defense Policy: Development, Added Value, and Challenges*, in: Federiga Bindi: *The Foreign Policy of the European Union*. 2nd Edition. Brookings, New York , 62-84;
73. Keukeleire, Stephan; Kolja Raube (2013): *The Security-Development Nexus and Securitisation in the EU’s policies towards developing countries*. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 26 (4).
74. Kirakosian, Richard. “Armenian–EU integration: Missed opportunities & strategic mistakes”, Heinrich Böll Stiftung, <http://www.ge.boell.org/web/110-1601.html>
75. Kobzova, Jana and Alieva, Leila. *The EU and Azerbaijan: Beyond Oil*, European Council on Foreign Affairs, Policy Memo, London, 2012, p. 4, http://www.ecfr.eu/page/-/ECFR57_EU_AZERBAIJAN_MEMO_AW.pdf
76. Korosteleva, Elena. “The Eastern Partnership Initiative: A New Opportunity for Neighbours?”, *Journal of Communism and Transition Politics*, Vol. 27, № 1, March 2011.
77. Korsunskaya, Darya. “Putin drops South Stream gas pipeline to EU, courts Turkey”, <http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/12/01/us-russia-gas-gazprom-pipeline-idUSKCN0JF30A20141201>
78. Kostanyan, Hrant. “The Eastern Partnership after Riga: Review and Reconfirm”, *CEPS Commentary*, Brussels, 29 May 2015.
79. Koutrakos, Panos (Ed.): *European Foreign Policy – Legal and Political Perspectives*, Edward Elgar Richard Youngs (2004) *Normative Dynamics and Strategic Interests in the EU's External Identity’*, *Journal of Common Market Studies*, vol. 42, 2, pp. 415- 435.
80. Łapczyński, Marcin. “The European Union’s Eastern Partnership: Chances and Perspectives”, *Caucasian Review of International Affairs*, Vol. 3 (2), Spring 2009.

81. Lippert, Barbara. "The Discussion on EU Neighborhood Policy – Concepts, Reform Proposals and National Priorities", Friedrich Ebert Stiftung, International Policy Analysis, July 2007.
82. Lynch, Dov. "The EU: Toward A Strategy", in Lynch, Dov (ed.) *The South Caucasus: A Challenge for the EU*, Chaillot Paper № 65, EU Institute for Security Studies, December 2003.
83. Manners, Ian. "Normative Power Europe: A Contradiction in Terms?", http://rudar.ruc.dk/bitstream/1800/8930/1/Ian_Manners_Normative_Power_Europe_A_Contradiction_in_Terms_COPRI_38_2000.pdf
84. Meister, Stefan and Viëtor, Marcel. "The energy policy of the EU and the Southern Gas Corridor" in *South Caucasus – 20 Years of Independence*. Friedrich–Ebert– Stiftung. ISBN 978–9941–0–3793–1.
85. Memorandum of Understanding on a strategic partnership between the European Union and the Republic of Azerbaijan in the Field of Energy. http://ec.europa.eu/energy/international/doc/mou_azerbaijan_en.pdf
86. Michael Burgess (2007) *Federalism and Federation*. In: Cini Michelle (ed) *European Union Politics* (2nd ed). Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 69-84.
87. Michelle Cini (2007) *Intergovernmentalism*. In: Cini Michelle (ed) *European Union Politics* (2nd ed). Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 99-116.
88. Minasyan, Sergey. "EU–Armenia Cooperation and the New Neighbourhood Policy", [http://ec2-174-129-218-71.compute-1.amazonaws.com/europe_cis/upload/EUArmenia% 20cooperation-3.pdf](http://ec2-174-129-218-71.compute-1.amazonaws.com/europe_cis/upload/EUArmenia%20cooperation-3.pdf)
89. Mkrtchyan, Tigran. "Armenia's European Future" in A. Schmidt, et al (ed.) *The European Union and the South Caucasus. Three perspectives on the South Caucasus, Europe in dialogue*, Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2009/01.
90. Natalia Shapovalova and Richard Youngs (2012) *EU democracy promotion in the Eastern neighbourhood: a turn to civil society?*, Working Paper. Madrid: Fride: <http://www.fride.org/publication/1088/eu-democracy-promotion-in-the-easternneighbourhood>
91. Paillard, Christophe–Alexandre. "Rethinking Russia: Russia and Europe's Mutual Energy Dependence", *Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 63, №2, Spring/Summer, 2010, [http://jia.sipa.columbia.edu/russia-and-europe %E2%80%99s-mutual-energy-dependence](http://jia.sipa.columbia.edu/russia-and-europe-%E2%80%99s-mutual-energy-dependence)
92. *Partnership and Cooperation Agreements (PCAs): Russia, Eastern Europe, the Southern Caucasus and Central Asia*. <http://europa.eu/legislation>

_summaries/external_relations/relations_with_third_countries/eastern_europe_and_central_asia/r17002_en.htm

93. Popescu, Nicu. “Eastern Partnership and the South Caucasus” in Lomashvili, Irene and Watt, Alastair (ed.) Eastern Partnership for the South Caucasus, Georgian Biographical Center, Tbilisi, 2011.
94. Popescu, Nicu. “ENP and EaP: relevant for the South Caucasus?” in South Caucasus – 20 Years of Independence, Friedrich–Ebert–Stiftung, ISBN 978–9941–0–3793–1.
95. Poviliūnas, Audrius. “South Caucasus in the context of European Neighborhood policy”, <http://www.lfpr.lt/uploads/File/2006/Poviliunas.pdf>
96. Prodi, Romano. “A wider Europe: a proximity policy as the key to stability”. Speech to the Sixth ECSA–World Conference, Brussels, 5–6 December, 2002. SPEECH/02/619.
97. Ramsay, William C. “Doubts about Nabucco Don't Originate in China – Look to Europe”, <http://www.ifri.org/?page=detail-contribution&id=5779>
98. Remarks by President Donald Tusk at the press conference of the Eastern Partnership Summit (22/05/2015), http://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/ukraine/press_corner/all_news/news/2015/2015_05_22_3_en.htm
99. Republic of Armenia National Security Strategy (approved at the session of National Security Council at the RA President office on January 26, 2007). http://www.mfa.am/u_files/file/doctrine/Doctrineeng.pdf
100. Scott, J. W. (2009) Bordering and ordering the European Neighbourhood: A critical perspective on EU territoriality and geopolitics, TRAMES, 13, 3, pp. 232–247:http://eap.ee/public/trames_pdf/2009/issue_3/trames-2009-3-232-247.pdf
101. Sen. Sam Brownback (R–Kan.). Silk Road Strategy Act of 1999: S. 579 (106th).
102. Shirinov, Rashad. “A Pragmatic Area of Cooperation: Azerbaijan and the EU”, IPG, 3/2011.
103. Sierra, Oscar. “Life is a dream: EU governance in the Southern Caucasus”, *Dynamiques internationales*. Numero 6, Fevrier 2012, ISSN 2105–2646.
104. Simão, Licinia and Freire, M. Raquel. “The EU’s Neighborhood Policy and the South Caucasus: Unfolding New Patterns of Cooperation”, *Caucasian Review of International affairs (CRIA)*, Vol. 2 (4), 2008.
105. Simão, Licinia. “EU–South Caucasus Relations: Do Good Governance and Security Go Together?”, *Political Perspective*, Vol. 5 (2), 2011.

106. Smith, E. Karene. "The outsiders: the European Neighborhood Policy". *International Affairs*, Vol. 81, Issue 4, 2005.
107. Stratos, Pourzitakis. "The Energy Security Dilemma of Turkish Stream", <http://carnegieeurope.eu/strategieurope/?fa=60861>
108. Umbach, Frank. "Energy Security in Eurasia. Clashing Interests" in Dellecker, Adrian and Gomart, Thomas (ed.) *Russian Energy Security and Foreign Policy*, Taylor & Francis, 2011.
109. Webber, Mark (2011): *The Common Security and Defence Policy in a multilateral world*, in: Koutrakos, Panos (Ed.): *European Foreign Policy – Legal and Political Perspectives*, Edward Elgar, pp. 205-234.
110. Whitman, G. Richard and Wolff, Stefan. "Much Ado About Nothing? The European Neighborhood Policy in Context" in Whitman, G. Richard and Wolff, Stefan (ed.) *The European Neighborhood Policy in Perspective. Context, Implementation and Impact*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
111. Wisniewski, Jaroslaw. "EU Energy Diversification Policy and the Case of South Caucasus", *Political Perspectives*, Vol. 5 (2), 2011.
112. Wouters, Jan; De Baere, Geert; Van Vooren, Bart; Odermatt, Jed; Ramopoulos, Thomas; Van Den Sanden, Tina; Tanghe, Yole (2013): *The Organization and Functioning of the European External Action Service: Achievements, Challenges and Opportunities*. Directorate General for External Relations
113. Wouters, Jan; De Baere, Geert; Van Vooren, Bart; Odermatt, Jed; Ramopoulos, Thomas; Van Den Sanden, Tina; Tanghe, Yole (2013): *The Organization and Functioning of the European External Action Service: Achievements, Challenges and Opportunities*. Directorate General for External Relations
114. Sasse, G. (2008) 'The European Neighbourhood Policy: Conditionality Revisited for the EU's Eastern Neighbours', *Europe-Asia Studies*, 60, 2, pp. 295-316.
114. Блокманс, Стивен. "Восточные надежды: Армения, Азербайджан, Грузия и процесс либерализации визового режима с ЕС" в *Кавказ – 2012. Ежегодник Института Кавказа*. Ереван. Институт Кавказа. 2014.
115. Кудряшова, Юлия. "Государства Южного Кавказа в Европейской Политике Соседства", *Аналитические записки МГИМО(У) МИД России*, Выпуск 6, 2008.
116. Минасян, Сергей. "Армения держит баланс между Европейским союзом и Евразийским экономическим союзом", *ПОНАРС Евразия. Аналитическая записка N377*, Август 2015, http://www.ponarseurasia.org/sites/default/files/policy-memos-pdf/Рерм_377_rus_Minasyan_Aug_2015.pdf

117. Пашковская, Ирина. “Европейский Союз: энергетическая политика в отношении новых независимых государств”, МГИМО, Центр евро-атлантической безопасности, Выпуск 1 (22), Июль 2009.

Трудоемкость дисциплины и виды учебной работы (в академических часах и кредитах)

Виды учебной работы	Всего, в акад. часах	Распределение по семестрам					
		7 сем	сем	сем	сем.	сем	сем.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Общая трудоемкость изучения дисциплины по семестрам, в т. ч.:	72	72					
1.1. Аудиторные занятия, в т. ч.:	34	34					
1.1.1. Лекции	18	18					
1.1.2. Практические занятия, в т. ч.							
1.1.2.1. Обсуждение прикладных проектов							
1.1.2.2. Кейсы							
1.1.2.3. Деловые игры, тренинги							
1.1.2.4. Контрольные работы							
1.1.2.5. Другое (указать)							
1.1.3. Семинары	16	16					
1.1.4. Лабораторные работы							
1.1.5. Другие виды (указать)							
1.2. Самостоятельная работа, в т. ч.:	38	38					
1.2.1. Подготовка к экзаменам							
1.2.2. Другие виды самостоятельной работы, в т.ч. (Указать)							
1.2.2.1. Письменные домашние задания							
1.2.2.2. Курсовые работы							
1.2.2.3. Эссе и рефераты							
1.2.2.4. Другое (указать)							
1.3. Консультации							
1.4. Другие методы и формы занятий							
Итоговый контроль (<i>Экзамен</i> , Зачет, диф. зачет - указать)	Зачет	Зачет					

Распределение весов по модулю и формам контроля

промежуточных контролей								
Вес итоговой оценки 1-го промежуточного контроля в результирующей оценке промежуточных контролей								
Вес итоговой оценки 2-го промежуточного контроля в результирующей оценке промежуточных контролей								
Вес итоговой оценки 3-го промежуточного контроля в							1	

результирующей оценке промежуточных контролей т.д.								
Вес результирующей оценки промежуточных контролей в результирующей оценке итогового контроля								1

Зачет (оценка итогового контроля)								зачет
	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1	$\Sigma =$ 1

Код и наименование компетенции	Код и наименование индикатора достижения компетенции
УК-5. Способен воспринимать межкультурное разнообразие общества в социально-историческом, этическом и философском контекстах	<p>УК-5.1. Демонстрирует толерантное восприятие социальных и культурных различий, уважительное и бережное отношение к историческому наследию и культурным традициям.</p> <p>УК-5.2. Находит и использует необходимую для саморазвития и взаимодействия с другими людьми информацию о культурных особенностях и традициях различных социальных групп.</p> <p>УК-5.3. Проявляет в своём поведении уважительное отношение к историческому наследию и социокультурным традициям различных социальных групп, опирающееся на знание этапов исторического развития России в контексте мировой истории и культурных традиций мира.</p> <p>УК-5.4. Сознательно выбирает ценностные ориентиры и гражданскую позицию; аргументированно обсуждает и решает проблемы мировоззренческого, общественного и личностного характера.</p>
ОПК-4. Способен устанавливать причинно-следственные связи, давать характеристику и оценку общественно-политическим и социально-экономическим событиям и	ОПК-4.1. Дает характеристику и оценку общественнополитическим и социально-экономическим событиям и процессам в экономическом, социальном и культурно-

<p>процессам, выявляя их связь с экономическим, социальным и культурно-цивилизационным контекстами, а также с объективными тенденциями и закономерностями комплексного развития на глобальном, макрорегиональном, национально-государственном, региональном и локальном уровнях</p>	<p>цивилизационном контекстах, а также в их взаимосвязанном комплексе.</p> <p>ОПК-4.2. Выявляет объективные тенденции и закономерности развития акторов на глобальном, макрорегиональном, национально-государственном, региональном и локальном уровнях. Оценивает значение субъективного выбора в политических процессах и определяет пределы аналитического и прогнозного суждения о них.</p> <p>ОПК-4.3. Находит причинно-следственные связи и взаимозависимости между общественнополитическими и социально-экономическими процессами и явлениями.</p>
<p>ОПК-5. Способен формировать дайджесты и аналитические материалы общественно-политической направленности по профилю деятельности для публикации в научных журналах и средствах массовой информации</p>	<p>ОПК-5.1. Самостоятельно и/или под руководством опытного наставника готовит тексты различной жанрово-стилистической принадлежности (дайджесты, аналитические материалы общественно-политической направленности по профилю деятельности для публикации СМИ и научных журналах, а для представления федеральным и региональным органам власти, коммерческим и некоммерческим организациям требуемого объёма, в том числе на иностранном(-ых) языке(-ах).</p> <p>ОПК-5.2. Отбирает и анализирует материалы для публикации с учетом особенностей целевой аудитории.</p>
<p>ОПК-6. Способен участвовать в организационно-управленческой деятельности и исполнять управленческие решения по профилю деятельности</p>	<p>ОПК-6.1. Ориентируется в организационной структуре системы органов государственной власти и управления РФ; международных организаций, а также неправительственных структур.</p>

	<p>ОПК-6.2. Имеет представление о миссии и долгосрочных целях организации.</p> <p>ОПК-6.3. Составляет официальную документацию различных видов (соглашения, договоры, программы визитов и пр.), в том числе на иностранном(-ых) языке(-ах).</p> <p>ОПК-6.4. Работает с корпоративной системой документооборота, в том числе электронного. Владеет навыками обеспечения государственного протокола Российской Федерации.</p> <p>ОПК-6.5. Выполняет базовые функции сотрудников младшего звена учреждений системы Министерства иностранных дел Российской Федерации, других государственных учреждений, федеральных и региональных органов государственной власти.</p>
<p>ПК-21. способность понимать основные направления внешней политики ведущих зарубежных государств, особенностей их дипломатии и взаимоотношений с Российской Федерацией</p>	
<p>ПК-22. способностью понимать основные тенденции развития ключевых интеграционных процессов современности</p>	